

NONCOMMUTATIVE CAUCHY INTEGRAL FORMULA

RICCARDO GHILONI, ALESSANDRO PEROTTI, AND VINCENZO RECUPERO

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to provide and prove the most general Cauchy integral formula for slice regular functions and for C^1 functions on a real alternative $*$ -algebra. Slice regular functions represent a generalization of the classical concept of holomorphic function of a complex variable in the noncommutative and nonassociative settings. As an application, we obtain two kinds of local series expansion for slice regular functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the main tasks in noncommutative complex analysis is the determination of the class of functions admitting a local power series expansion at every point of their domain of definition.

Let $\mathbb{A}$ denote the noncommutative structure we are working with: it may be, for instance, the skew field $\mathbb{H}$ of quaternions, the nonassociative division algebra $\mathbb{O}$ of octonions, the Clifford algebras $\mathbb{R}_{p,q}$, or any real alternative $*$ -algebra. The noncommutative setting requires a distinction between polynomials with left and right coefficients in $\mathbb{A}$. If we consider, for instance, coefficients on the right of the indeterminate x (the left case yields an analogous theory), then it is well known that the proper way to perform the multiplication consists in imposing commutativity of x with the coefficients (cf. [19]). Thus if $p(x) = \sum_n x^n c_n$ and $q(x) = \sum_n x^n d_n$, then their product is defined by

$$(p * q)(x) := \sum_n x^n \left(\sum_{k+h=n} c_k d_h \right). \quad (1.1)$$

Note that this product is different from the pointwise product of p and q , even if one of the two polynomials is constant: indeed if $p(x) = c_0$ the pointwise product is $p(x)q(x) = \sum_n c_0(x^n d_n)$, while $(p * q)(x) = \sum_n x^n(c_0 d_n)$. If instead $q(x) = d_0$ and $p(x) = \sum_n x^n c_n$, then $p(x)q(x) = \sum_n (x^n c_n)d_0$ and $(p * q)(x) = \sum_n x^n(c_n d_0)$.

The problem of the power series representation was solved in the quaternionic case in [8]: the class of functions admitting a power series expansion is given by the *slice regular* functions. The theory of slice regularity on the quaternionic space was introduced in [10, 11] (see also [9]), and then it was extended to Clifford algebras and octonions in [5, 12], and to any real alternative $*$ -algebras in [14, 15].

The notion of slice regular function generalizes the classical concept of holomorphic function of a complex variable. Let us briefly describe this notion in the simpler case in which $\mathbb{A}$ is $\mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{O}$. Let $\mathbb{S}$ be the subset of square roots of -1 and, for each $J \in \mathbb{S}$, let $\mathbb{C}_J$ be the plane generated by 1 and J . Observe that each $\mathbb{C}_J$ is a copy of the complex plane. The quaternions and the octonions have a “slice complex” nature, described by the following two properties: $\mathbb{A} = \bigcup_{J \in \mathbb{S}} \mathbb{C}_J$ and $\mathbb{C}_J \cap \mathbb{C}_K = \mathbb{R}$ for every $J, K \in \mathbb{S}$ with $J \neq \pm K$. Let D be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ invariant under complex conjugation and let $\Omega_D = \bigcup_{J \in \mathbb{S}} D_J$, where $D_J := \{\rho + \sigma J \in \mathbb{C}_J : \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \rho + \sigma i \in D\}$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C15; Secondary 30G35, 32A30, 17D05.

Key words and phrases. Cauchy integral formula, Functions of a hypercomplex variable, Quaternions, Octonions, Clifford algebras.

R. Ghiloni and A. Perotti are partially supported by FIRB 2012 “Differential Geometry and Geometric Function Theory”, MIUR Project “Proprietà geometriche delle varietà reali e complesse” and GNSAGA of INdAM. V. Recupero is a member of GNAMPA of INdAM.

A function $f : \Omega_D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ of class C^1 is called *slice regular* if, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, its restriction f_J to D_J is holomorphic with respect to the complex structures on D_J and on $\mathbb{A}$ defined by the left multiplication by J , i.e. if $\partial f_J / \partial \rho + J \partial f_J / \partial \sigma = 0$ on D_J . The precise definition of slice regular functions in the most general setting of real alternative $*$ -algebras is recalled in Section 2 below.

One of the main achievements of the theory of slice regular functions is a Cauchy-type integral formula (see [11, 1, 15, 7, 2]), which has many consequences also in noncommutative functional analysis (cf. [4, 6, 3, 13, 18]). It generalizes two classical formulas, the Cauchy integral formula for holomorphic functions and its extension to C^1 functions, sometimes called Cauchy–Pompeiu formula. Let us show it again in the case $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{O}$. If D is bounded, its boundary is piecewise of class C^1 , and f is of class C^1 on the closure of Ω_D in $\mathbb{A}$, then for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$ it holds:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} C_y(x) J^{-1} dy f(y) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{D_J} C_y(x) J^{-1} d\bar{y} \wedge dy \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{y}}(y) \quad \forall x \in D_J, \quad (1.2)$$

where the function C_y denotes the (*noncommutative*) *Cauchy kernel* defined by

$$C_y(x) := (x^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(y)x + |y|^2)^{-1}(\bar{y} - x).$$

For $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{H}$, the associativity allows to prove that formula (1.2) holds for all $x \in \Omega_D$. The two integrals in (1.2) are defined in a natural way:

$$\int_{\partial D_J} C_y(x) J^{-1} dy f(y) := \int_0^1 C_{\alpha(t)}(x) J^{-1} \alpha'(t) f(\alpha(t)) dt$$

and

$$\int_{D_J} C_y(x) J^{-1} d\bar{y} \wedge dy \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{y}}(y) := 2 \int_{D_J} C_{\rho + \sigma J}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{y}}(\rho + \sigma J) d\rho d\sigma,$$

$\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_J$ being a Jordan curve parametrizing ∂D_J , and (ρ, σ) being the real coordinates in $\mathbb{C}_J$. As usual $\partial f / \partial \bar{y} := \frac{1}{2}(\partial f_J / \partial \rho + J \partial f_J / \partial \sigma)$ and the fact that the differential dy appears on the left of $f(y)$ depends on the noncommutativity of $\mathbb{A}$. Notice that, if x and y belong to the same $\mathbb{C}_J$, and hence commute, then it turns out that $C_y(x) = (y - x)^{-1}$ and we find again the form of the classical Cauchy formula for holomorphic functions.

A drawback of formula (1.2) is that it is not a representation formula: indeed in the nonassociative case it holds for $x \in D_J \subseteq \mathbb{C}_J$ and not on the whole domain Ω_D . An elementary example is the one in which $A = \mathbb{O}$, D is the open disk of $\mathbb{C}$ centered at the origin with radius 2, $f(x) := xi$ and $J := (i + j)/\sqrt{2}$, where $\{1, i, j, ij, k, ik, jk, k(ij)\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{O}$. In fact, in this case, $k \in \Omega_D$ and $f(k) = ki$, but the right hand side of formula (1.2) gives a different value in $x = k$, namely $(ki + kj)/2$.

The aim of the present paper is to find a Cauchy integral formula, proved in Theorem 3.5 for general real alternative $*$ -algebras $\mathbb{A}$, allowing to represent the values $f(x)$ when x belongs to the whole domain Ω_D of f . In order to do this, we exploit the notion of *slice product* between two slice regular functions f and g , which is recalled in Definition 2.7 below and will be denoted simply by $f \cdot g$. This product is the natural generalization to functions of the product (1.1) of polynomials and allows us to provide the following Cauchy integral representation formula:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} [C_y \cdot (J^{-1} dy f(y))](x) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{D_J} \left[C_y \cdot \left(J^{-1} d\bar{y} \wedge dy \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{y}}(y) \right) \right](x) \quad (1.3)$$

holding for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$ and for every $x \in \Omega_D$, where the parentheses are omitted in the term $J^{-1} dy f(y)$, because this product is proved to be associative (cf. Remark 3.6(iii)). Observe that with respect to x the slice product in the integrand function of (1.3) is computed in the variable x between the function C_y and the constant functions $J^{-1} dy f(y)$ and $J^{-1} d\bar{y} \wedge dy \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{y}}(y)$, y being

the integration variable. Therefore, denoting by $\cdot_x$ the slice product performed with respect to the variable x , we can rewrite formula (1.3) in the following more explicit way:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} C_y(x) \cdot_x (J^{-1} dy f(y)) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{D_J} C_y(x) \cdot_x \left(J^{-1} d\bar{y} \wedge dy \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{y}}(y) \right) \\ &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 [C_{\alpha(t)} \cdot (J^{-1} \alpha'(t) f(\alpha(t)))](x) dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_J} [C_{\rho+\sigma J} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{y}}(\rho + \sigma J)](x) d\rho d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in \Omega_D$. The noncommutative Cauchy kernel $C_y(x)$ is the inverse of the slice regular function $y - x$ with respect to the slice product: $C_y(x) \cdot_x (y - x) = (y - x) \cdot_x C_y(x) = 1$.

The keypoint here is that we are considering the set of slice functions as an algebra by using the slice product instead of the pointwise product: whence formulas (1.3) and (1.2) have extremely different natures. We refer the reader to [17] for more details concerning the algebra of slice functions.

The new Cauchy integral formula (1.3) allows us to obtain the series expansion at x_0 of a slice regular function f with respect to slice powers $(x - x_0)^n$ or to spherical polynomials $\mathcal{S}_{x_0,n}(x)$ by using the classical method for complex holomorphic functions (cf. Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 for details). In the associative framework this result was achieved by a different method in [16], where a proof is also sketched for the nonassociative case.

The proof of the Cauchy formula (1.3) given in Section 3 is new, also in the associative case.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Real alternative $\ast$ -algebras. Let us assume that

$$\mathbb{A} \text{ is a finite dimensional real \textit{alternative algebra} with unit,} \quad (2.1)$$

i.e. $\mathbb{A}$ is a finite dimensional real algebra with unit $1_{\mathbb{A}}$ such that the mapping

$$(x, y, z) \longmapsto (xy)z - x(yz) \quad \text{is alternating.}$$

Note that we are not assuming that $\mathbb{A}$ is associative, but the following theorem holds (cf. [20]):

Theorem 2.1 (Artin). *The subalgebra generated by any two elements of an alternative algebra is associative.*

Here we assume that the real dimension of $\mathbb{A}$ is strictly greater than 1, so that $\mathbb{A} \neq \{0\}$, i.e. $1_{\mathbb{A}} \neq 0$. A consequence of the bilinearity of the product in $\mathbb{A}$ is the equality

$$r(xy) = (rx)y = x(ry) \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{A}. \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore if we identify $\mathbb{R}$ with the subalgebra generated by $1_{\mathbb{A}}$, then the notation rx is not ambiguous if $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{A}$. Notice that

$$rx = xr \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{A}. \quad (2.3)$$

We also assume that $\mathbb{A}$ is a $\ast$ -algebra, that is

$$\mathbb{A} \text{ is endowed with a } \ast\text{-involution } \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} : x \longmapsto x^c, \quad (2.4)$$

i.e. a real linear mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} (x^c)^c &= x & \forall x \in \mathbb{A}, \\ (xy)^c &= y^c x^c & \forall x, y \in \mathbb{A}, \\ r^c &= r & \forall r \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the previous assumptions (2.1) and (2.4), we say that

$$\mathbb{A} \text{ is a finite dimensional real alternative } *- \text{algebra with unity.} \quad (2.5)$$

We will assume (2.5) in the remainder of the paper and we will endow $\mathbb{A}$ with the topology induced by any norm on it as a real vector space.

Definition 2.2. The *trace* $t(x)$ and the *squared norm* $n(x)$ of any $x \in \mathbb{A}$ are defined as follows

$$t(x) := x + x^c, \quad n(x) := xx^c, \quad x \in \mathbb{A}.$$

Moreover, we define $Q_{\mathbb{A}}$, the *quadratic cone* of $\mathbb{A}$, and the set $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$ of *square roots of -1* by:

$$Q_{\mathbb{A}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{x \in \mathbb{A} : t(x) \in \mathbb{R}, n(x) \in \mathbb{R}, t(x)^2 - 4n(x) < 0\},$$

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}} := \{J \in Q_{\mathbb{A}} : J^2 = -1\}.$$

For each $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$, we denote by $\mathbb{C}_J := \langle 1, J \rangle$ the subalgebra of $\mathbb{A}$ generated by J . Finally, the *real part* $\text{Re}(x)$ and the *imaginary part* $\text{Im}(x)$ of an element x of $Q_{\mathbb{A}}$ are given by

$$\text{Re}(x) := (x + x^c)/2, \quad \text{Im}(x) := (x - x^c)/2, \quad x \in Q_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

When $x \in Q_{\mathbb{A}} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, then $\text{Im}(x) = sJ$, with $s = \sqrt{n(\text{Im}(x))} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$. Note that $\text{Im}(x)$ includes also the imaginary unit J of x .

Since $\mathbb{A}$ is assumed to be alternative, one can prove (cf. [15, Proposition 3]) that the quadratic cone $Q_{\mathbb{A}}$ has the following two properties, which describe its “slice complex” nature:

$$Q_{\mathbb{A}} = \bigcup_{J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}} \mathbb{C}_J, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\mathbb{C}_J \cap \mathbb{C}_K = \mathbb{R} \quad \forall J, K \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}, \quad J \neq \pm K. \quad (2.7)$$

Two simple consequences of (2.6) are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \exists x^{-1} = n(x)^{-1}x^c \quad \forall x \in Q_{\mathbb{A}} \setminus \{0\}, \\ x^n \in Q_{\mathbb{A}} \quad \forall x \in Q_{\mathbb{A}}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Observe that if $x = r + sJ \in \mathbb{C}_J$, with $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$, then $x^c = r - sJ$.

2.2. Slice functions and their slice product. Let us recall that the complexification of $\mathbb{A}$ is the real vector space given by the tensor product

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{A}^2,$$

that can be described by setting $1 := (1, 0) \in \mathbb{A}^2$ and $\mathbf{i} := (0, 1) \in \mathbb{A}^2$, so that every $v = (x, y) \in \mathbb{A}^2$ can be uniquely written in the form $v = x1 + y\mathbf{i} = x + y\mathbf{i}$, and $\mathbf{i}$ is an *imaginary unit*. Thus the sum in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ reads $(x + y\mathbf{i}) + (x' + y'\mathbf{i}) = (x + x') + (y + y')\mathbf{i}$ and the product defined by

$$(x + y\mathbf{i})(x' + y'\mathbf{i}) := (xx' - yy') + (xy' + yx')\mathbf{i},$$

makes $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ a complex alternative algebra as well, therefore $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{A} + \mathbf{i}\mathbb{A} = \{x + y\mathbf{i} : x, y \in \mathbb{A}\}$ and $\mathbf{i}^2 = -1$. The *complex conjugation* of $v = x + y\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined by $\bar{v} := x - y\mathbf{i}$.

We are now in position to recall the notion of slice functions. Let D be a subset of $\mathbb{C}$, invariant under the complex conjugation $z = r + si \mapsto \bar{z} = r - si$, $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$\Omega_D := \{r + sJ \in Q_{\mathbb{A}} : r, s \in \mathbb{R}, J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}, r + si \in D\}.$$

A subset of $Q_{\mathbb{A}}$ is said to be *circular* if it is equal to Ω_D for some set D as above.

Suppose now that D is open in $\mathbb{C}$, not necessarily connected. Thanks to (2.6) and (2.7), Ω_D is a relatively open subset of $Q_{\mathbb{A}}$.

Definition 2.3. A function $F = F_1 + F_2\mathbf{i} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is called *stem function* if $F(\bar{z}) = \overline{F(z)}$ for every $z \in D$. The stem function $F = F_1 + F_2\mathbf{i}$ on D induces a *left slice function* $\mathcal{I}(F) : \Omega_D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ on Ω_D as follows. Let $x \in \Omega_D$. By (2.6), there exist $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$ such that $x = r + sJ$. Then we set:

$$\mathcal{I}(F)(x) := F_1(z) + J F_2(z), \quad \text{where } z = r + si \in D. \quad (2.9)$$

The reader observes that the definition of $\mathcal{I}(F)$ is well-posed. In fact, if $x \in \Omega_D \cap \mathbb{R}$, then $r = x$, $s = 0$ and J can be arbitrarily chosen in $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$. However, $F_2(z) = 0$ and hence $\mathcal{I}(F)(x) = F_1(x)$, independently from the choice of J . If $x \in \Omega_{\mathbb{A}} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, then x has the following two expressions: $x = r + sJ = r + (-s)(-J)$, where $r = \operatorname{Re}(x)$, $s = \sqrt{n(\operatorname{Im}(x))}$ and $J = s^{-1} \operatorname{Im}(x)$. Anyway, if $z := r + si$, we have: $\mathcal{I}(F)(r + (-s)(-J)) = F_1(\bar{z}) + (-J)F_2(\bar{z}) = F_1(z) + (-J)(-F_2(z)) = F_1(z) + J F_2(z) = \mathcal{I}(F)(r + sJ)$.

It is important to observe that every left slice function $f : \Omega_D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is induced by a unique stem function $F = F_1 + F_2\mathbf{i}$. In fact, it is easy to verify that, if $x_J = r + sJ \in \Omega_D$ and $z = r + si \in D$, then $F_1(z) = (f(x_J) + f(x_J^c))/2$ and $F_2(z) = -J(f(x_J) - f(x_J^c))/2$. Therefore one obtains the following representation formula (see [15, Proposition 5]):

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x_J) + f(x_J^c)) - \frac{I}{2}(J(f(x_J) - f(x_J^c))) \quad \forall x = r + sI \in \Omega_D, \quad (2.10)$$

which implies the next proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Every left slice function is uniquely determined by its values on a plane $\mathbb{C}_J$.*

Let us introduce a relevant subclass of left slice functions.

Definition 2.5. Let $F = F_1 + F_2\mathbf{i} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a stem function on D . The left slice function $f = \mathcal{I}(F)$ induced by F is said to be *slice preserving* if F_1 and F_2 are real-valued.

One can prove the following (cf. [15, Proposition 10]).

Proposition 2.6. *Let $Q_{\mathbb{A}} \neq \mathbb{C}$. A left slice function f is slice preserving if and only if $f(\Omega_D \cap \mathbb{C}_J) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_J$ for every $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$.*

In general, the pointwise product of slice functions is not a slice function. However, if $F = F_1 + F_2\mathbf{i}$ and $G = G_1 + G_2\mathbf{i}$ are stem functions, then it is immediate to see that their pointwise product

$$FG = (F_1G_1 - F_2G_2) + (F_1G_2 + F_2G_1)\mathbf{i}$$

is again a stem function. In this way, we give the following definition.

Definition 2.7. Let $f = \mathcal{I}(F)$ and $g = \mathcal{I}(G)$ be two left slice functions on Ω_D . We define the *slice product* $f \cdot g$ as the left slice function $\mathcal{I}(FG)$ on Ω_D .

In the remainder of the paper, a constant function will be denoted by its value: if $f(x) = a \in \mathbb{A}$ for every $x \in \Omega_D$, we will write $f = a$. Observe that it holds $a \cdot b = ab$ for every pair of constants $a, b \in \mathbb{A}$.

2.3. Slice regular functions. Our next aim is to recall the concept of left slice regular function, which generalizes the notion of holomorphic function from $\mathbb{C}$ to any real alternative $\ast$ -algebra like $\mathbb{A}$.

Let $F : D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a stem function with components $F_1, F_2 : D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$. Since we endow $\mathbb{A}$ with the topology induced by any norm on it as a finite dimensional real vector space, if $z = r + si$, $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, denotes the complex variable in $\mathbb{C}$, it makes sense to consider the partial derivatives $\partial F / \partial r$, $\partial F / \partial s \mathbf{i}$, which are also stem functions.

Definition 2.8. Let $F = F_1 + F_2 \mathbf{i} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a stem function belonging to $C^1(D; \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}})$ (i.e. $F_1, F_2 \in C^1(D; \mathbb{A})$). Let us denote by $z = r + si$, $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, the complex variable in $\mathbb{C}$. We define the continuous stem functions $\partial F / \partial z : D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\partial F / \partial \bar{z} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} \mathbf{i} \right), \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} \mathbf{i} \right).$$

If $f = \mathcal{I}(F) : \Omega_D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is the left slice function induced by F , we define the continuous slice functions

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} := \mathcal{I} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \right), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^c} := \mathcal{I} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}} \right).$$

We say that $f = \mathcal{I}(F)$ is *left slice regular* if $\partial f / \partial x^c = 0$.

Significant examples of slice regular functions are the *polynomials with right coefficients in $\mathbb{A}$* , i.e. functions $p : Q_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ of the form $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n x^k c_k$ with $c_k \in \mathbb{A}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If we identify, for simplicity, the imaginary unit $\mathbf{i}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ with the imaginary unit i of $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, we have that $p = \mathcal{I}(P)$ where $P : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined by $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n z^k c_k$. Given two polynomials $p(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n x^k c_k$ and $q(x) := \sum_{k=0}^m x^k d_k$, their *star product* $p * q : Q_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is defined by setting

$$(p * q)(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{n+m} x^j \left(\sum_{k+h=j} c_k d_h \right),$$

i.e. we impose the commutativity for the product of the variable x with the coefficients. Note that $p * q \neq pq$, the pointwise product. In fact the following result holds ([15, Proposition 12]).

Proposition 2.9. *If p and q are polynomials with right coefficients in $\mathbb{A}$, then $p * q = p \cdot q$, i.e. the star product is equal to the slice product.*

For any $y \in Q_{\mathbb{A}}$, the *characteristic polynomial of y* is the slice preserving slice regular function $\Delta_y : Q_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow Q_{\mathbb{A}}$ induced by the stem function $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}} : z \mapsto (y - z)(y^c - z) = z^2 - zt(y) + n(y)$,

$$\Delta_y(x) := (y - x) \cdot_x (y^c - x) = x^2 - xt(y) + n(y), \quad x \in Q_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

Observe that $y \in \mathbb{C}_J$ for some $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$, therefore the set of zeroes of Δ_y is

$$\mathbb{S}_y := \{\xi + \eta J \in Q_{\mathbb{A}} : \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}, K \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}, y = \xi + \eta J\}.$$

Hence we can define the left slice regular function $C_y : Q_{\mathbb{A}} \setminus \mathbb{S}_y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ by setting

$$C_y(x) := \mathcal{I}((z^2 - zt(y) + n(y))^{-1}(y^c - z)) = \Delta_y(x)^{-1}(y^c - x). \quad (2.11)$$

We say that C_y is the *Cauchy kernel for left slice regular functions on $\mathbb{A}$* .

By definition (2.11), C_y turns out to be the inverse of the slice regular function $y - x$ with respect to the slice product; that is, $C_y(x) \cdot_x (y - x) = (y - x) \cdot_x C_y(x) = 1$. If $x \in \mathbb{C}_J$, then x commutes with y and y^c , thus $\Delta_y(x) = (y^c - x)(y - x)$ and, if $x \notin \{y, y^c\}$, $\Delta_y(x)^{-1} = (y - x)^{-1}(y^c - x)^{-1}$. This ensures that

$$C_y(x) = (y - x)^{-1} \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{C}_J, \quad x \neq y, \quad x \neq y^c.$$

3. CAUCHY INTEGRAL FORMULA

We start with a lemma where we introduce a useful complex structure on $\mathbb{A}$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$, then the mapping $\phi_J : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_J$ defined by*

$$\phi_J(r + si) := r + sJ, \quad r, s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.1)$$

is a complex algebra isomorphism. Moreover, the product $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} : (z, x) \mapsto zx$ defined by

$$zx := \phi_J(z)x, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad x \in \mathbb{A}, \quad (3.2)$$

makes $\mathbb{A}$ a complex vector space.

Proof. The fact that ϕ_J is an isomorphism is an easy consequence of (2.2) and (2.3). In order to prove that (3.2) makes $\mathbb{A}$ a complex vector space, we need to invoke Artin's Theorem 2.1: indeed if $x \in \mathbb{A}$, then $J(Jx) = (JJ)x = -x$, and this implies, together with a straightforward calculation, that $z_1(z_2x) = (z_1z_2)x$ for every $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. The remaining axioms are trivially satisfied. $\square$

From Lemma 3.1 it follows that $\phi_J(z^{-1}) = (\phi_J(z))^{-1}$ for any $z \neq 0$, and the product of $\mathbb{A}$ is commutative and associative in $\mathbb{C}_J$.

It will be useful to consider $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ as a complex vector space as well, indeed one can easily infer the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *The product $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}} : (z, v) \mapsto zv$:*

$$(r + si)(x + y\mathbf{i}) := (rx - sy) + (ry + sx)\mathbf{i} \quad (3.3)$$

for $z = r + si$, $v = x + y\mathbf{i}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$, makes $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ a complex vector space.

Therefore we have the following

Lemma 3.3. *If $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$, then the mapping $\Psi_J : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ defined by*

$$\Psi_J(a + b\mathbf{i}) := a + Jb, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{A}, \quad (3.4)$$

is a continuous complex vector space linear map when $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbb{A}$ are endowed with the complex structures defined by (3.3) and (3.2), respectively.

Proof. Let $z = r + si \in \mathbb{C}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $v = x + y\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$. Recalling definitions (3.3) and (3.2), and using (2.2), (2.3), and Artin's Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} z\Psi_J(v) &= \phi_J(z)(x + Jy) \\ &= (r + sJ)(x + Jy) \\ &= rx + r(Jy) + (sJ)x + (sJ)(Jy) \\ &= rx + J(ry + sx) + s((JJ)y) \\ &= (rx - sy) + J(ry + sx) \\ &= \Psi_J((rx - sy) + (ry + sx)\mathbf{i}) \\ &= \Psi_J((r + si)(x + y\mathbf{i})) = \Psi_J(zv). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Ψ_J is homogeneous. The additivity and the continuity are clear. $\square$

Bearing in mind Artin's Theorem 2.1, it is easy to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$. Let $f = \mathcal{I}(F)$, $g = \mathcal{I}(G)$, and $h = \mathcal{I}(H)$ be slice functions on Ω_D . If F takes values in $\mathbb{C}_J \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, then $f \cdot g = fg$ on $\Omega_D \cap \mathbb{C}_J$. If F, G, H take values in $\mathbb{C}_J \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, then $(f \cdot g) \cdot h = f \cdot (g \cdot h)$ and $f \cdot g = g \cdot f$.*

In the situation of previous Lemma 3.4, we will omit the parentheses.

We are now in position to prove the general Cauchy integral representation formula for slice functions, the natural noncommutative and nonassociative generalization of the classical complex Cauchy integral formula:

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{F(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_D \frac{(\partial F / \partial \bar{\zeta})(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta \quad (3.5)$$

holding for $F \in C^1(\overline{D}; \mathbb{C})$, where $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded domain with piecewise C^1 boundary. Here $\frac{1}{2i} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta$ is the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 3.5 (Cauchy integral formula). *Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded domain, $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$ and $D_J := \Omega_D \cap \mathbb{C}_J$. Let ∂D_J denote the boundary of D_J in $\mathbb{C}_J$ and assume that it is piecewise C^1 . If $f = \mathcal{I}(F) : \Omega_D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is a left slice function and $F \in C^1(\overline{D}; \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}})$, then*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} [C_y \cdot (J^{-1} dy f(y))] (x) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{D_J} \left[C_y \cdot \left(J^{-1} dy^c \wedge dy \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^c}(y) \right) \right] (x)$$

for every $x \in \Omega_D$.

Before showing the proof, some remarks should be made.

Remark 3.6.

- (i) As we mentioned in the introduction, the position of the “differentials” inside integrals of $\mathbb{A}$ -valued functions is important, so a rigorous definition is in order. We limit ourselves to the integrals involved in the Cauchy formula. If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$, and $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_J$ is a piecewise C^1 parametrization of the (counterclockwise oriented) Jordan curve ∂D_J in the plane $\mathbb{C}_J$, then

$$\int_{\partial D_J} [C_y \cdot (J^{-1} dy f(y))] (x) := \int_a^b [C_{\alpha(t)} \cdot (J^{-1} \alpha'(t) f(\alpha(t)))] (x) dt,$$

α' being the derivative of α . The second integral is simply

$$\int_{D_J} \left[C_y \cdot \left(J^{-1} dy^c \wedge dy \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^c}(y) \right) \right] (x) := 2 \int_{D_J} \left[C_{\rho + \sigma J} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^c}(\rho + \sigma J) \right] (x) d\rho d\sigma,$$

(ρ, σ) being the coordinates of y in $\mathbb{C}_J = \{y = \rho + \sigma J : \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Hence $\frac{J^{-1}}{2} dy^c \wedge dy$ may be considered as the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}_J \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$.

- (ii) In the two integrand functions, the slice product $\cdot$ is computed with respect to the variable x : $J^{-1} dy f(y)$ and $J^{-1} dy^c \wedge dy (\partial f / \partial y^c)(y)$ are here constant functions (w.r.t. x), y being the (fixed) integration variable. Using the notation $\cdot_x$ for the slice product w.r.t. x , the Cauchy formula can be written in the following way:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} C_y(x) \cdot_x (J^{-1} dy f(y)) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{D_J} C_y(x) \cdot_x \left(J^{-1} dy^c \wedge dy \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^c}(y) \right).$$

- (iii) There are no parentheses in the term $J^{-1} dy f(y) = J^{-1} \alpha'(t) f(\alpha(t)) dt$, because it belongs to the subalgebra generated by J and $f(y)$, thus, by Artin's Theorem 2.1, this product is associative.
- (iv) The formula of Theorem 3.5 reduces in the associative case to what stated in [15, Theorem 27].

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let us first prove the theorem under the assumption

$$x \in D_J. \tag{3.6}$$

Let $z := \phi_J^{-1}(x) \in \mathbb{C}$ (see (3.1)). Observe that, from (3.4) and (2.9), we get

$$f(\phi_J(w)) = \Psi_J(F(w)) \quad \forall w \in \overline{D}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y^c}(\phi_J(w)) = \Psi_J\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \overline{w}}(w)\right) \quad \forall w \in D. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a Jordan curve whose trace is ∂D (counterclockwise oriented) and let (ρ, σ) denote the real coordinates of $\zeta = \rho + \sigma i \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $F \in C^1(\overline{D}; \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}})$, we can apply the

classical vector complex Cauchy formula, which can be easily deduced from (3.5) by means of the Hahn-Banach theorem, or simply using coordinates. We get

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial D} \frac{F(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)} d\zeta - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_D \frac{(\partial F / \partial \bar{\zeta})(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)} d\bar{\zeta} \wedge d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^1 \gamma'(t) \frac{F(\gamma(t))}{\gamma(t) - z} dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{(\partial F / \partial \bar{\zeta})(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)} d\rho d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where the product by a complex scalar in the integrand functions is defined by (3.3). Thus, recalling from Lemma 3.3 that $\Psi_J : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is $\mathbb{C}$ -linear and continuous when $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbb{A}$ are endowed with the complex vector structures defined by (3.3) and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_J(F(z)) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \Psi_J \left(\frac{\gamma'(t)}{(\gamma(t) - z)i} F(\gamma(t)) \right) dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \Psi_J \left(\frac{(\partial F / \partial \bar{\zeta})(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)} \right) d\rho d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{\gamma'(t)}{(\gamma(t) - z)i} \Psi_J(F(\gamma(t))) dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \frac{1}{\zeta - z} \Psi_J \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{\zeta}}(\zeta) \right) d\rho d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \phi_J \left(\frac{\gamma'(t)}{(\gamma(t) - z)i} \right) \Psi_J(F(\gamma(t))) dt \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \phi_J \left(\frac{1}{\zeta - z} \right) \Psi_J \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{\zeta}}(\zeta) \right) d\rho d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Now observe that $\gamma_J := \phi_J \circ \gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_J$ is a (counterclockwise oriented) parametrization of ∂D_J and that $\gamma'_J = \phi_J \circ \gamma'$. Hence, using (3.6)–(3.8), Lemma 3.1, (2.12), and Artin's Theorem 2.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \Psi_J(F(z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 ((\gamma_J(t) - x)^{-1} J^{-1} \gamma'_J(t)) f(\gamma_J(t)) dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_J} (y - x)^{-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^c}(y) d\rho d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 (C_{\gamma_J(t)}(x) J^{-1} \gamma'_J(t)) f(\gamma_J(t)) dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_J} C_y(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^c}(y) d\rho d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 C_{\gamma_J(t)}(x) (J^{-1} \gamma'_J(t) f(\gamma_J(t))) dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_J} C_y(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^c}(y) d\rho d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Now let us observe that if $a \in \mathbb{A}$ and $y \in D_J$, then, thanks to Lemma 3.4, it follows that

$$(C_y \cdot a)(x) = C_y(x)a \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{C}_J,$$

where a denotes the constant function taking the value a . Therefore from (3.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 [C_{\gamma_J(t)} \cdot (J^{-1} \gamma'_J(t) f(\gamma_J(t)))](x) dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{D_J} \left(C_y \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^c}(y) \right)(x) d\rho d\sigma \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} [C_y \cdot (J^{-1} dy f(y))](x) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{D_J} \left[C_y \cdot \left(J^{-1} dy^c \wedge dy \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^c}(y) \right) \right](x), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem in the case $x \in D_J$. In order to conclude, it is enough to invoke Proposition 2.4, since f and the function on the right hand side of the previous formula are slice functions on Ω_D . $\square$

Corollary 3.7 (Cauchy formula for slice regular functions). *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 3.5, if f is left slice regular on Ω_D , then*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} [C_y \cdot (J^{-1} dy f(y))](x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} C_y(x) \cdot_x (J^{-1} dy f(y)) \tag{3.11}$$

for each $x \in \Omega_D$.

4. APPLICATIONS: SERIES EXPANSIONS

The new Cauchy formula (3.11) permits to prove series expansions of slice regular functions following the lines of the classical method used in the case of holomorphic functions of a complex variable: the expansion of the Cauchy kernel.

We assume that $\mathbb{A}$ is equipped with a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ satisfying the property: $\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \sqrt{n(x)}$ for each $x \in Q_{\mathbb{A}}$ (e.g. the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{O}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{0,q}$). As shown in [16, §3.1], there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $\|xy\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq C_1 \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}} \|y\|_{\mathbb{A}}$ if $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$. We recall the definition of the metric $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ on $Q_{\mathbb{A}}$ (cf. [8] and [16]). For $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}_J$ and $x \in Q_{\mathbb{A}}$,

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(x, x_0) := \begin{cases} \|x - x_0\|_{\mathbb{A}} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{C}_J \\ \sqrt{|\operatorname{Re}(x) - \operatorname{Re}(x_0)|^2 + (\|\operatorname{Im}(x)\|_{\mathbb{A}} + \|\operatorname{Im}(x_0)\|_{\mathbb{A}})^2} & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{C}_J. \end{cases}$$

Given $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, let $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r) = \{x \in Q_{\mathbb{A}} : \sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(x, x_0) < r\}$ be the $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ -ball of $Q_{\mathbb{A}}$ centered at x_0 of radius r .

Let D be as in Theorem 3.5 and x_0 a fixed point in D_J . For $x \in D_J$, $y \in \partial D_J$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Cauchy kernel expands as:

$$C_y(x) = (y - x)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^n (x - x_0)^k (y - x_0)^{-k-1} + (x - x_0)^{n+1} (y - x)^{-1} (y - x_0)^{-n-1}.$$

Let $(x - x_0)^{\cdot n}$ denote the n -th power of the slice function $x - x_0$ w.r.t. the slice product. Thanks to Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 3.4, we get that

$$C_y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (x - x_0)^{\cdot k} \cdot_x (y - x_0)^{-k-1} + (x - x_0)^{\cdot n+1} \cdot_x C_y(x) \cdot_x (y - x_0)^{-n-1}$$

for each $x \in \Omega_D$, $y \in \partial D_J$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying the Cauchy formula (Corollary 3.7) to a slice regular function f , we obtain, for every $x \in \Omega_D$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (x - x_0)^{\cdot k} \cdot_x \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} (y - x_0)^{-k-1} (J^{-1} dy f(y)) + R_n(x)$$

with

$$R_n(x) = (x - x_0)^{\cdot n+1} \cdot_x \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} C_y(x) \cdot_x (y - x_0)^{-n-1} (J^{-1} dy f(y)).$$

Now choose $r' > r > 0$ such that $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r') \subseteq \Omega_D$. As shown in [16], $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r') \cap \mathbb{C}_J$ is an open disk $B_J(x_0, r')$ in $\mathbb{C}_J$ and $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r) = B_J(x_0, r) \cup \Omega(x_0, r)$, with $\Omega(x_0, r)$ a circular set. From the representation formula for slice functions (2.10) applied on $\Omega(x_0, r)$, one obtains that there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|R_n(x)\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq C_2 \sup_{x' \in B_J(x_0, r)} \|R_n(x')\|_{\mathbb{A}} \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we get that for every $x' \in B_J(x_0, r)$,

$$R_n(x') = (x' - x_0)^{n+1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} C_y(x') (y - x_0)^{-n-1} (J^{-1} dy f(y)).$$

Since $\|x' - x_0\|_{\mathbb{A}} < r$ and $\|y - x_0\|_{\mathbb{A}} \geq r'$ for each $x' \in B_J(x_0, r)$ and $y \in \partial D_J$, there exists $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\|R_n(x)\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq C_3 \left(\frac{r}{r'}\right)^{n+1} \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have proved the following result:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded domain, with ∂D piecewise C^1 . Let $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r) \subseteq \Omega_D$. If f is left slice regular on Ω_D and of class C^1 on $\overline{\Omega}_D$, then there exists a unique sequence $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{A}$, defined, for each $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$, by the formula*

$$a_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} (y - x_0)^{-k-1} (J^{-1} dy f(y)),$$

such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (x - x_0)^{\cdot k} \cdot_x a_k$$

with uniform convergence on $\Sigma_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r)$.

A drawback of using $\sigma_{\mathbb{A}}$ is that this metric is finer than the Euclidean one. This problem was solved in [21] introducing a new pseudo-metric and a different series expansion. For $x, x_0 \in Q_{\mathbb{A}}$, let us define

$$u_{\mathbb{A}}(x, x_0) := \sqrt{\|\Delta_{x_0}(x)\|_{\mathbb{A}}}.$$

The function $u_{\mathbb{A}}$ is a pseudo-metric on $Q_{\mathbb{A}}$ (cf. [21] and [16]), called *Cassini pseudo-metric*, whose induced topology is strictly coarser than the Euclidean one. Given $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the $u_{\mathbb{A}}$ -ball $U_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r) = \{x \in Q_{\mathbb{A}} : u_{\mathbb{A}}(x, x_0) < r\}$ centered at x_0 of radius r is a circular set. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the slice regular functions, called *spherical polynomials*,

$$\mathcal{S}_{x_0, 2m}(x) := \Delta_{x_0}(x)^m, \quad \mathcal{S}_{x_0, 2m+1}(x) := \Delta_{x_0}(x)^m (x - x_0).$$

Let D be as above and let x_0 be a fixed point in D_J . Using alternatively the two equalities

$$\begin{aligned} C_y(x) &= (y - x)^{-1} = (y - x_0)^{-1} + (x - x_0)(y - x)^{-1}(y - x_0)^{-1}, \\ C_y(x) &= (y - x)^{-1} = (y - x_0^c)^{-1} + (x - x_0^c)(y - x)^{-1}(y - x_0^c)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in D_J$ and $y \in \partial D_J$, and then applying Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 3.4, we obtain, for each $x \in \Omega_D$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$C_y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{S}_{x_0, k}(x) \cdot_x \mathcal{S}_{x_0, k+1}(y)^{-1} + \mathcal{S}_{x_0, n+1}(x) \cdot_x C_y(x) \cdot_x \mathcal{S}_{x_0, n+1}(y)^{-1}.$$

We now proceed as above. From Corollary 3.7 we get, for every $x \in \Omega_D$,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{S}_{x_0, k}(x) \cdot_x \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} \mathcal{S}_{x_0, k+1}(y)^{-1} (J^{-1} dy f(y)) + R'_n(x)$$

with

$$R'_n(x) = \mathcal{S}_{x_0, n+1}(x) \cdot_x \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} C_y(x) \cdot_x \mathcal{S}_{x_0, n+1}(y)^{-1} (J^{-1} dy f(y)).$$

Let $r' > r > 0$ such that $U_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r') \subseteq \Omega_D$. The set $U_J(x_0, r) := U_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r) \cap \mathbb{C}_J$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}_J$ bounded by a Cassini oval. From the representation formula (2.10) we get that there exists $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\|R'_n(x)\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq C_3 \sup_{x' \in U_J(x_0, r)} \|R'_n(x')\|_{\mathbb{A}} \quad \forall x \in U_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using Lemma 3.4, we get that for every $x' \in U_J(x_0, r)$,

$$R'_n(x') = \mathcal{S}_{x_0, n+1}(x') \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} C_y(x') \mathcal{S}_{x_0, n+1}(y)^{-1} (J^{-1} dy f(y)).$$

Let $x' \in U_J(x_0, r)$ and $y \in \partial D_J$. Since $u_{\mathbb{A}}(x', x_0) < r$ and $u_{\mathbb{A}}(y, x_0) > r'$, from [16, Lemma 5.2] we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{S}_{x_0, n+1}(x')\| &\leq r^n(r + 2\|\operatorname{Im}(x_0)\|), \\ \|\mathcal{S}_{x_0, n+1}(y)\| &\geq (r')^{n+2}(r' + 2\|\operatorname{Im}(x_0)\|)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exists $C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\|R'_n(x)\|_{\mathbb{A}} \leq C_4 \left(\frac{r}{r'}\right)^n \quad \forall x \in U_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

from which we get the so-called *spherical expansion* of f :

Proposition 4.2. *Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded domain, with ∂D piecewise C^1 . Let $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\overline{U_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r)} \subseteq \Omega_D$. If f is left slice regular on Ω_D and of class C^1 on $\overline{\Omega}_D$, then there exists a unique sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{A}$, defined, for each $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{A}}$, by the formula*

$$s_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_J} \mathcal{S}_{x_0, k+1}(y)^{-1} (J^{-1} dy f(y)),$$

such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{S}_{x_0, k}(x) \cdot_x s_k$$

with uniform convergence on $U_{\mathbb{A}}(x_0, r)$.

Example (cf. [16] Ex. 5.6). Let $A = \mathbb{H}$ and let $J \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{H}}$ be fixed. Consider the slice regular function f on $\mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ defined, for each $x = r + sI$, with $s > 0$, by

$$f(x) = 1 - IJ.$$

We compute the power and spherical expansions of f at $y = J$. The maximal $\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}$ -ball centered at J on which the power expansion converges to f is the domain $\Sigma_{\mathbb{H}}(J, 1) = \{q \in \mathbb{C}_J \mid |q - J| < 1\}$, which has empty interior w.r.t. the euclidean topology of $\mathbb{H}$. On the other hand, the spherical expansion converges to f on a non-empty open domain of $\mathbb{H}$. We can compute the coefficients a_k and s_k by means of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2. Using the fact that f assumes constant value 2 on $\mathbb{C}_J^+ = \{r + sJ \in \mathbb{C}_J : s > 0\}$ and vanishes on $\mathbb{C}_J^- = \{r + sJ \in \mathbb{C}_J : s < 0\}$, we get

$$a_k = (\pi J)^{-1} \int_{\partial \Delta} (y - J)^{-k-1} dy = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases},$$

$$s_k = \begin{cases} (\pi J)^{-1} \int_{\partial \Delta} (y^2 + 1)^{-n-1} dy & \text{if } k = 2n + 1 \text{ is odd} \\ (\pi J)^{-1} \int_{\partial \Delta} (y^2 + 1)^{-n} (y - J)^{-1} dy & \text{if } k = 2n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

where Δ is a disk in $\mathbb{C}_J$ centered at J with radius smaller than 1. Therefore

$$s_0 = 2, \quad s_k = \begin{cases} 4^{-n} \binom{2n}{n} (-J) & \text{if } k = 2n + 1 \text{ is odd,} \\ 4^{-n} \binom{2n}{n} & \text{if } k = 2n > 0 \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

and the spherical expansion of f at J takes the form

$$f(x) = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \binom{2n}{n} (1 + x^2)^n xJ.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Colombo, G. Gentili, and I. Sabadini. A Cauchy kernel for slice regular functions. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 37:361–378, 2010.
- [2] F. Colombo and I. Sabadini. The Cauchy formula with s -monogenic kernel and a functional calculus for noncommuting operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 373:655–679, 2011.
- [3] F. Colombo and I. Sabadini. The quaternionic evolution operator. *Adv. Math.*, 227(5):1772–1805, 2011.
- [4] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D. C. Struppa. A new functional calculus for noncommuting operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 254(8):2255–2274, 2008.
- [5] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D. C. Struppa. Slice monogenic functions. *Israel J. Math.*, 171:385–403, 2009.
- [6] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D. C. Struppa. *Noncommutative functional calculus*, volume 289 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. Theory and applications of slice hyperholomorphic functions.
- [7] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and D. C. Struppa. The Pompeiu formula for slice hyperholomorphic functions. *Michigan Math. J.*, 60(1):163–170, 2011.
- [8] G. Gentili and C. Stoppato. Power series and analyticity over the quaternions. *Math. Ann.*, 352(1):113–131, 2012.
- [9] G. Gentili, C. Stoppato, and D. C. Struppa. *Regular Functions of a Quaternionic Variable*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, 2013.
- [10] G. Gentili and D. C. Struppa. A new approach to Cullen-regular functions of a quaternionic variable. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 342(10):741–744, 2006.
- [11] G. Gentili and D. C. Struppa. A new theory of regular functions of a quaternionic variable. *Adv. Math.*, 216(1):279–301, 2007.
- [12] G. Gentili and D. C. Struppa. Regular functions on the space of Cayley numbers. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 40(1):225–241, 2010.
- [13] R. Ghiloni, V. Moretti, and A. Perotti. Continuous slice functional calculus in quaternionic Hilbert spaces. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 25(4):1350006, 83, 2013.
- [14] R. Ghiloni and A. Perotti. A new approach to slice regularity on real algebras. In *Hypercomplex analysis and its Applications*, Trends Math., pages 109–124. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2011.
- [15] R. Ghiloni and A. Perotti. Slice regular functions on real alternative algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 226(2):1662–1691, 2011.
- [16] R. Ghiloni and A. Perotti. Power and spherical series over real alternative $*$ -algebras. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 63(2):495–532, 2014.
- [17] R. Ghiloni, A. Perotti, and C. Stoppato. The algebra of slice functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, DOI:10.1090/tran/6816.
- [18] R. Ghiloni and V. Recupero. Semigroups over real alternative $*$ -algebras: generation theorems and spherical sectorial operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368:2645–2678, 2016.
- [19] T. Y. Lam. *A first course in noncommutative rings*, volume 131 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [20] R. D. Schafer. *An introduction to nonassociative algebras*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 22. Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [21] C. Stoppato. A new series expansion for slice regular functions. *Adv. Math.*, 231(3–4):1401 – 1416, 2012.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TRENTO, VIA SOMMARIVE 14, 38123 TRENTO, ITALY
E-mail address: `ghiloni@science.unitn.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI TRENTO, VIA SOMMARIVE 14, 38123 TRENTO, ITALY
E-mail address: `perotti@science.unitn.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, POLITECNICO DI TORINO, CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24, 10129 TORINO, ITALY
E-mail address: `vincenzo.recupero@polito.it`